# ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

IN THE MATTER OF

SDH ALABAMA LLC CLAIRMONT PHASE VI LEEDS, T17S, R01E, S11 ST CLAIR COUNTY, ALABAMA NPDES AUTHORIZATION NO. ALR10C160 CONSENT ORDER 23-XXX-CLD

#### **PREAMBLE**

This Special Order by Consent is made and entered into by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management ("Department" or "ADEM") and ADH Alabama, LLC ("Operator") pursuant to the provisions of the Alabama Environmental Management Act, Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-17, as amended, the Alabama Water Pollution Control Act (hereinafter "AWPCA"), Ala. Code §§ 22-22-1 to 22-22-14, as amended, and the regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, and § 402 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, as amended.

### STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Operator is an Alabama developer constructing residential development Clairmont Phase VI ("Facility") located off Weaver Road, in Leeds, St Clair County, Alabama. Sediment and other pollutants in stormwater runoff from the Facility have the potential to discharge and/or have discharged to an unnamed tributary to Little Cahaba River, a water of the State.
- 2. The Department is a duly constituted department of the State of Alabama pursuant to Ala. Code §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-17, as amended.
- 3. Pursuant to <u>Ala. Code</u> § 22-22A-4(n), as amended, the Department is the state agency responsible for the promulgation and enforcement of water pollution control regulations in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 to 1387, as amended. In addition, the Department is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Alabama Water Pollution Control Act, <u>Ala. Code</u> §§ 22-22-1 through 22-22A-14, as amended.

4. The following references and acronyms are used in this Order and, when used, shall have the meaning of the name or title referenced below.

BMPs Best Management Practices

CBMPP Construction Best Management Practices Plan

NOI Notice of Intent

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

QCP ADEM-recognized Qualified Credentialed Professional

UT Unnamed Tributary

NOV Notice of Violation

- 5. Pursuant to ADEM Admin. Code rs. 335-6-12-.05(1) and 335-6-12-.11(1), the Operator is required to register for and obtain NPDES coverage prior to commencing and/or continuing regulated disturbance activities.
- 6. On June 22, 2022, an NOI modification was submitted to the Department requesting the transfer of NPDES coverage under NPDES General Permit ALR100000 (hereinafter "Permit") for regulated disturbance activities and discharges of treated stormwater from the Facility to the Operator. The Department granted the transfer of authorization ALR10C1AR to the Operator on June 22, 2022. General Permit ALR100000 is scheduled to expire on March 31, 2026.
- 7. Pursuant to Part III. A. of the Permit, the Permittee shall design, install, and maintain effective erosion controls and sediment controls, appropriate for site conditions. Sediment control measures, erosion control measures, and other site management practices must be properly selected based on site-specific conditions, must meet or exceed the technical standards outlined in the Alabama Handbook For Erosion Control, Sediment Control, And Stormwater Management On Construction Sites And Urban Areas published by the Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Committee (hereinafter the "Alabama Handbook") and the site-specific CBMPP prepared in accordance with Part III. E.
- 8. Pursuant to Part III. E. of the Permit, construction activity may not commence until a CBMPP has been prepared in a format acceptable to the Department and certified by a QCP as adequate to meet the requirements of this permit. The Permittee shall properly implement and regularly maintain the controls, practices, devices, and measures specified in the CBMPP.

#### **DEPARTMENT'S CONTENTIONS**

- 9. On September 16, 2022, the Department conducted an inspection of the Facility and noted the following:
- A. Observed that the Operator had not properly implemented and maintained effective BMPs, although NPDES construction activity had commenced and was continuing, in violation of Parts III. A. and E. of the Permit.
- B. Observed significant accumulations of sediment resulting from discharges from the Facility offsite and into a UT to Little Cahaba River, in violation of Part III. I. 3. of the Permit.
- 10. On September 28, 2022, a NOV was sent to the Operator by the Department as a result of the September 16, 2022, inspection. The NOV notified the Operator of deficiencies documented at the Facility, and required the Operator to submit to the Department a report prepared by a QCP showing steps that were taken at the Facility to correct the noted violations within ten days of receipt of the NOV.
- 11. On October 13, 2022, an initial response to the September 28, 2022, NOV was received from the Operator and a final response to it was later received on October 21, 2022.
- 12. On December 2, 2022, the Department conducted an inspection of the Facility and noted the following:
- A. Observed that the Operator had not properly implemented and maintained effective BMPs, although NPDES construction activity had commenced and was continuing, in violation of Parts III. A. and E. of the Permit.
- B. Observed significant accumulations of sediment resulting from discharges at the Facility were observed offsite, in violation of Part III. I. 3. of the Permit.
- 13. On December 16, 2022, a NOV was sent to the Operator by the Department as a result of the December 2, 2022, inspection. The NOV notified the Operator of deficiencies documented at the Facility.
- 14. On December 28, 2022, an initial response to the December 16, 2002 NOV was received from the Operator and a final response to it was later received on January 4, 2023.

- 15. Pursuant to Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., as amended, in determining the amount of any penalty, the Department must give consideration to the seriousness of the violation, including any irreparable harm to the environment and any threat to the health or safety of the public; the standard of care manifested by such person; the economic benefit which delayed compliance may confer upon such person; the nature, extent and degree of success of such person's efforts to minimize or mitigate the effects of such violation upon the environment; such person's history of previous violations; and the ability of such person to pay such penalty. Any civil penalty assessed pursuant to this authority shall not exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation, provided however, that the total penalty assessed in an order issued by the Department shall not exceed \$250,000.00. Each day such violation continues shall constitute a separate violation. In arriving at this civil penalty, the Department has considered the following:
- A. SERIOUSNESS OF THE VIOLATIONS: Considering the general nature of the violations, the magnitude and duration of the violations, their effects, if any on impaired waters, and lack of any available evidence of irreparable harm to the environment or threat to the public, the Department determined the base penalty to be \$24,000.00.
- B. THE STANDARD OF CARE: In considering this factor, the Department noted the substantial off-site environmental impacts to adjacent property, the continued violations after previous enforcements, and the fact that the Facility is a Priority Construction site. In consideration of the standard of care by the Operator, the Department enhanced the penalty by \$12,000.00.
- C. ECONOMIC BENEFIT WHICH DELAYED COMPLIANCE MAY HAVE CONFERRED:

  The Operator has delayed certain costs associated with maintaining proper BMPs. In

  consideration of the economic benefit to the Operator, the Department enhanced the penalty by

  an additional \$400.00
- D. EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE OR MITIGATE THE EFFECTS OF THE VIOLATIONS UPON THE ENVIRONMENT: The Department is unaware of any efforts by the Operator to minimize or mitigate the effects of the violations upon the environment.

- E. HISTORY OF PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: The Department is unaware of any previous enforcement not already addressed above.
- F. THE ABILITY TO PAY: The Department is unaware of any evidence regarding the Operator's inability to pay the civil penalty.
- G. OTHER FACTORS: It should be noted that this Special Order by Consent is a negotiated settlement and, therefore, the Department has compromised the amount of the penalty the Department believes is warranted in this matter in the spirit of cooperation and the desire to resolve this matter amicably, without incurring the unwarranted expense of litigation.
- 16. The Department has carefully considered the six statutory penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c., as amended, as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement and, based upon the foregoing and attached contentions, has concluded that the civil penalty herein is appropriate and consistent with the historical penalty range imposed by the Department for similar violations (See Attachment "1", which is hereby made a part of Department's Contentions).
- 17. The Department neither admits nor denies the Operator's Contentions, which are set forth below. The Department has agreed to the terms of this Consent Order in an effort to resolve the violations cited herein without the unwarranted expenditure of State resources in further prosecuting the above violations. The Department has determined that the terms contemplated in this Consent Order are in the best interests of the citizens of Alabama.

#### OPERATOR'S CONTENTIONS

- 18. The Operator neither admits nor denies the Department's allegations. The Operator consents to abide by the terms of this Consent Order and to pay the civil penalty assessed herein.
- 19. The Operator has agreed to the terms of this Consent Order in an effort to resolve the violations cited herein without the unwarranted expenditure of resources in contesting the State's allegations. However, notwithstanding such consent, the Operator contends as follows:
- a. the "potential to discharge and/or have discharged" referenced in Section 2 herein is more properly described as discharge to an unnamed tributary, to a pond, to an unnamed tributary, and ultimately to the Little Cahaba River, a water of the State.

b. the economic benefit referenced in Section 15(c) is of negligible benefit to

the Operator.

c. while the Department may be unaware of such efforts, the Operator has

sought to comply with applicable state and federal laws and regulations. Further, the Operator

has been in communications with some owners and/or occupants of the adjacent and nearby

property.

d. Operator reserves its right to object to future Orders, but Operator

acknowledges in doing so it may not contest or challenge this Consent Order.

<u>ORDER</u>

Therefore, the Operator, along with the Department, desires to resolve and settle the

compliance issues cited above. The Department has carefully considered the facts available to

the Department and has considered the six penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-

5(18)(c), as amended, as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement.

The Department believes that the following conditions are appropriate to address the

violations alleged herein. Therefore, the Department and the Operator (hereinafter collectively,

"Parties") agree to enter into this Consent Order with the following terms and conditions:

A. That the Operator shall pay to the Department a civil penalty in the amount of

\$25,800.00 in settlement of the violations alleged herein within forty-five days after issuance of

this Consent Order. Failure to pay the civil penalty within forty-five days after issuance may

result in the Department's filing a civil action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County to

recover the civil penalty.

B. That all penalties due pursuant to this Consent Order shall be made payable to the

Alabama Department of Environmental Management by certified or cashier's check and shall be

remitted to:

Office of General Counsel Alabama Department of Environmental Management

PO Box 301463

Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

- C. That the Operator shall take immediate action to prevent, to the maximum extent practicable, sediment and other pollutants in stormwater leaving the Facility and prevent noncompliant and/or unpermitted discharges of pollutants to waters of the State.
- D. That the Operator shall, within five days from the date of issuance of this Consent Order, the Operator shall have a QCP perform a comprehensive inspection of the Facility, offsite conveyances, and affected State waters.
- E. That the Operator shall, within ten days from the date of issuance of this Consent Order, submit to the Department the results of the QCP comprehensive inspection and a plan to return the Facility, offsite conveyances, and affected State waters to compliance with Permit requirements.
- F. That, within ten days of the date of issuance of this Consent Order, the Operator shall submit to the Department a detailed plan, prepared by a QCP, for the removal and/or remediation of sediment and other pollutants deposited offsite and/or in State waters.
- G. That the Operator shall, within thirty days from the date of issuance of this Consent Order, fully implement effective BMPs, designed by a QCP, that meet or exceed the technical standards outlined in the Alabama Handbook, the site CBMPP plan, and NPDES General Permit ALR100000, and correct all deficiencies at the Facility and offsite conveyances, including sediment removal or remediation.
- H. That, within seven days of the completion of the activities required in paragraph G above, the Operator shall submit to the Department a certification signed by the QCP that effective BMPs that meet or exceed the technical standards outlined in the Alabama Handbook, the site CBMPP plan, and NPDES General Permit ALR100000 have been implemented, all deficiencies have been corrected, and full compliance with the requirements of NPDES General Permit ALR100000, has been achieved at the Facility, offsite conveyances, and affected State waters.
- I. That this Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon both Parties, their directors, officers, and all persons or entities acting under or for them. Each signatory to this Consent Order certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to

enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Order, to execute the Consent Order on behalf of the party represented, and to legally bind such party.

- J. That, subject to the terms of these presents and subject to provisions otherwise provided by statute, this Consent Order is intended to operate as a full resolution of the violations which are cited in this Consent Order.
- K. That the Operator is not relieved from any liability if the Operator fails to comply with any provision of this Consent Order.
- L. That, for purposes of this Consent Order only, the Department may properly bring an action to compel compliance with the terms and conditions contained herein in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County. In any action brought by the Department to compel compliance with the terms of this Agreement, the Operator shall be limited to the defenses of Force Majeure, compliance with this Agreement and physical impossibility. A Force Majeure is defined as any event arising from causes that are not foreseeable and are beyond the reasonable control of the Operator, including the Operator's contractors and consultants, which could not be overcome by due diligence (i.e., causes which could have been overcome or avoided by the exercise of due diligence will not be considered to have been beyond the reasonable control of the Operator) and which delays or prevents performance by a date required by the Consent Order. Events such as unanticipated or increased costs of performance, changed economic circumstances, normal precipitation events, or failure to obtain federal, state, or local permits (unless same are due to a natural disaster, a communal health crisis such as Covid-19, or a governmental issued quarantine and/or "lockdown") shall not constitute Force Majeure. Any request for a modification of a deadline must be accompanied by the reasons (including documentation) for each extension and the proposed extension time. This information shall be submitted to the Department a minimum of ten working days prior to the original anticipated completion date. If the Department, after review of the extension request, finds the work was delayed because of conditions beyond the control and without the fault of the Operator, the Department may extend the time as justified by the circumstances. The Department may also grant any other additional time extension as justified by the circumstances, but the Department is not obligated to do so.

- M. That the sole purpose of this Consent Order is to resolve and dispose of all allegations and contentions stated herein concerning the factual circumstances referenced herein. Should additional facts and circumstances be discovered in the future concerning the Facility which would constitute possible violations not addressed in this Consent Order, then such future violations may be addressed in Orders as may be issued by the Director, litigation initiated by the Department, or such other enforcement action as may be appropriate, and the Operator shall not object to such future Orders, litigation or enforcement action based on the issuance of this Consent Order if future Orders, litigation or other enforcement action address new matters not raised in this Consent Order.
- N. That this Consent Order shall be considered final and effective immediately upon signature of all Parties. This Consent Order shall not be appealable, and the Operator does hereby waive any hearing on the terms and conditions of same.
- O. That this Consent Order shall not affect the Operator's obligation to comply with any federal, State, or local laws or regulations.
- P. That final approval and entry into this Consent Order are subject to the requirements that the Department give notice of proposed penalty Orders to the public, and that the public have at least thirty days within which to comment on the Consent Order.
- Q. That, should any provision of this Consent Order be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Environmental Management Commission to be inconsistent with federal or State law and therefore unenforceable, the remaining provisions herein shall remain in full force and effect.
- R. That any modifications of this Consent Order must be agreed to in writing and signed by both Parties.
- S. That, except as otherwise set forth herein, this Consent Order is not and shall not be interpreted to be a permit or modification of an existing permit under federal, State or local law, and shall not be construed to waive or relieve the Operator of the Operator's obligations to comply in the future with any permit coverage.

Executed in duplicate, with each part being an original.

SDH ALABAMA, LLC	ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Clin Gulff-			
(Signature of Authorized Representative)	Lance R. LeFleur		
Gizalsetz Enshalf	Director		
(Printed Name)			
Affiliate President (Printed Title)			
Date Signed: 5/17/2013	Date Executed:		

## ATTACHMENT 1 - PENALTY SYNOPSIS

SDH Alabama, LLC - Clairmont Phase VI Leeds, St. Clair County NPDES ALR10C160

Number of Violations	Seriousness of Violation & Base Penalty*	Standard of Care*	History of Previous Violations*
2	\$9,000	\$4,500	
2	\$15,000	\$7,500	
4	\$24,000	\$12,000	
	Violations 2	Number of Violations of Violation & Base Penalty*  2 \$9,000  2 \$15,000	Number of Violations of Violation & Base Penalty*  2 \$9,000 \$4,500  2 \$15,000 \$7,500

Economic Benefit\*: \$400

Sub-Total: \$36,400

Mitigating Factors\*:

Ability to Pay\*:

Other Factors\*:

Amount of Initial Penalty:

-\$10,600

Total Adjustments:
Final Penalty:

\$ 25,800

\$36,400

<sup>\*</sup>See the Department's "Contentions" portion of the Order for a detailed description of each violation and the penalty factors.