2020 WL 6479601 Only the Westlaw citation is currently available. Court of Appeals of Indiana.

MONSTER TRASH, INC., Appellant/Petitioner,

OWEN COUNTY COUNCIL, Owen County Commissioners, and Owen County Board of Zoning Appeals, Appellee/Respondent.

Court of Appeals Case No. 20A-PL-918 | FILED November 4, 2020

Synopsis

Background: County and trash removal company both petitioned for declaratory judgment as to whether company's proposed use of its property as solid waste transfer station was permitted pursuant to county zoning and subdivision control ordinance, after county refused to issue document required for company to obtain state license to operate proposed station. The Circuit Court, Morgan County, Matt Hanson, J., entered declaratory judgment for county. Company appealed.

The Court of Appeals, Bradford, C.J., 152 N.E.3d 630, reversed and remanded with instructions. County sought rehearing, and Court of Appeals granted rehearing for purpose of clarifying conclusion.

[Holding:] The Court of Appeals, Bradford, C.J., held that waste transfer station was permitted in heavy industrial zone pursuant to zoning ordinance.

Reversed and remanded with instructions.

Procedural Posture(s): On Appeal; Motion for Declaratory Judgment.

West Headnotes (2)

[1] Counties 🦫

While a court shows substantial deference to a county's interpretation of its ordinance, it is, in

the end, the express language of the ordinance that controls the court's interpretation, and the court's goal is to determine, give effect to, and implement the intent of the enacting body.

[2] Zoning and Planning 🦫

Waste transfer station was permitted in heavy industrial zone pursuant to zoning ordinance, even if "waste transfer station" was not included in list of uses expressly permitted by ordinance; ordinance listed "reduction of trash or garbage" as a permitted use, and activities of waste transfer station could reasonably be described as reducing trash or garbage.

Appeal from the Morgan Circuit Court, The Hon. Matthew G. Hanson, Judge, Trial Court Cause No. 55C01-2002-PL-247

Attorneys and Law Firms

Attorney for Appellant: Michael L. Einterz, Jr., Einterz & Einterz, Zionsville, Indiana

Attorney for Appellees: John J. Moore, Touhy Bailey & Moore LLP, Indianapolis, Indiana

OPINION ON REHEARING

Bradford, Chief Judge.

- *1 [1] On July 30, 2020, in a published opinion, we reversed the trial court's judgment entered in favor of Appellees/Respondents Owen County Council, Owen County Commissioners, and Owen County Board of Zoning Appeals ("BZA") (collectively, "the County") and remanded for further proceedings. The County now seeks rehearing, arguing that Monster Trash's proposed operation of a solid waste transfer station is not a permitted activity in a heavy industrial zone, and we grant rehearing for the purpose of clarifying our conclusion that it is.
- [2] Although we concluded in our original opinion that waste transfer stations are not absolutely prohibited by the

Monster Trash, Inc. v. Owen County Council, --- N.E.3d ---- (2020)

Ordinance if they are unlicensed, there is also the question of whether they are permitted in a heavy industrial zone, which is where Monster Trash seeks to operate such a facility. Section 3.3 of the Ordinance provides, in part, as follows:

3.3 - Permitted Uses In Zones

[....]

2. Heavy:

- a. Arsenal
- b. Central mixing plant for mortar, plaster, concrete, paving material, or asphalt
- c. Dehydration plant
- d. Cement lime ingredient, lime, gypsum and plaster
- e. Petroleum refinery and distillation
- f. Smelting of ore or metal
- g. Wholesale or bulk storage of gasoline or other petroleum products
- h. Railroad storage yards or shops
- i. Sanitary landfills, reduction or incineration of trash, garbage, offal or dead animals
- j. Fat rendering
- k. Manufacture of acid, alcohol, ammonia, bleaching powder, celluloid, explosives, gas, glue, pyroxylin, or nitrocellulose
- 1. Other uses in Permitted Land Use Table

Appellant's App. Vol. II pp. 40, 42. The County essentially argues that because "operating a waste transfer station" is not listed in Section 3.3, it is not a permitted use. For its part, Monster Trash argues that the activities of a waste transfer station are, in fact permitted by Section 3.3(i), which lists "reduction [...] of trash [or] garbage" as a permitted use. We agree with Monster Trash.

[1] [2] [3] While we do show substantial deference to the County's interpretation of the Ordinance, it is, in the end, the express language of the Ordinance that controls

our interpretation, and our goal is to determine, give effect to, and implement the intent of the enacting body. See Shaffer v. State, 795 N.E.2d 1072, 1076 (Ind. Ct. App. 2003). With this in mind, we now turn to that plain language. As it happens, none of the terms at issue are defined in the Ordinance, which provides that "[a]ny words not defined [in the Ordinance] shall be construed in their general acce[p]ted meanings as defined by Webster's Dictionary." ¹ The first two definitions of "reduce" in Webster's are "to draw together or cause to converge" and "to diminish in size, amount, extent, or number[.]" See WEBSTER'S THIRD NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY 1905 (Phillip Babcock Gove et al. eds., G.&C. Merriam Company 1964). Moreover, while the term "waste transfer station" is not defined in Webster's, Indiana Code section 13-11-2-235(a) defines it as "a facility where solid waste is transferred from a vehicle or a container to another vehicle or container for transportation." IDEM, the agency that licenses waste transfer stations, provides additional guidance in its "Fact Sheet" on Solid Waste Transfer Stations:

*2 Solid waste transfer stations are facilities where solid waste, mainly municipal solid waste (MSW), is unloaded from collection vehicles or containers for reloading into larger, longdistance vehicles for transport to landfills or other permitted solid waste facilities for final disposal. Facilities that move solid waste from one mode of transportation to another, such as rail to road, can also be considered transfer stations.

Appellant's App. Vol. II p. 175. A reasonable and fair description of a waste transfer station, then, is that it is a facility in which waste is drawn together (from collection vehicles to transport vehicles), caused to converge (again, from collection vehicles to transport vehicles), or diminished in extent (collecting waste from homes and businesses and concentrating it at the facility), activities that all fit squarely within the Webster's definition of "reduce," one of the uses listed in Section 3.3(i) of the Ordinance. So, while a solid waste transfer station may not be in the business of

Wright, Walter 12/9/2020 For Educational Use Only

Monster Trash, Inc. v. Owen County Council, --- N.E.3d ---- (2020)

reducing waste by compaction or incineration, we conclude that its activities nonetheless qualify as permitted uses in a heavy industrial zone pursuant to the plain language of the Ordinance.

[4] Because we have clarified that the operation of a waste transfer station entails uses explicitly permitted by the Ordinance, our original disposition stands. To repeat that disposition, we reverse the judgment of the trial court and remand with instructions to, within thirty days of the certification of this opinion on rehearing, order the BZA to

issue a document to IDEM and/or Monster Trash confirming that zoning requirements are not required for the location of a solid waste transfer station on the Property.

Najam, J., and Mathias, J., concur.

All Citations

--- N.E.3d ----, 2020 WL 6479601

Footnotes

Although Merriam-Webster produces and markets several dictionaries bearing the brand name "Webster's," see https://www.merriam-webster.com/shop-dictionaries/dictionaries (last accessed October 19, 2020), we assume that the drafters of the Ordinance intended that the company's flagship dictionary be used, which, since 1961 and with only minor revisions not relevant here, has been the Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language, Unabridged. See Stephan Fatsis, The Definition of a Dictionary, SLATE (last accessed October 19, 2020), http://www.slate.com/articles/life/culturebox/2015/01/merriam_webster_dictionary_what_should_an_online_dictionary_look_like.html. Consequently, all references to "Webster's" in this opinion on rehearing will be to that work.

End of Document

© 2020 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.