Case 2:19-cv-00126-RMP ECF No. 19 filed 11/22/19 PageID.138 Page 1 of 22

served on October 30, 2019, and the Notice of Plaintiff's Acceptance of Offer of Judgment ("Acceptance"), which Plaintiff served on November 13, 2019, are attached hereto as Attachments A and B, respectively.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 68(a) provides:

[A] party defending against a claim may serve on an opposing party an offer to allow judgment on specified terms, with the costs then accrued. If, within 14 days after being served, the opposing party serves written notice accepting the offer, either party may then file the offer and notice of acceptance, plus proof of service. The clerk must then enter judgment.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 68(a). The Parties are jointly filing the Offer and Acceptance. In addition, because the Offer includes equitable relief, and the Court typically would retain discretion whether to grant such relief, the Parties respectfully request that the Court issue an order directing the clerk to enter judgment as set forth in the Offer.

In addition, the Parties respectfully request extension of the deadlines imposed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d) and Local Civil Rule 54(d). The Offer specifies that the Corps "shall pay to Riverkeeper 'costs then accrued' under Fed. R. Civ. P. 68(a), including 'costs of litigation (including reasonable attorney and expert witness fees)' under 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), in the amount that this Court determines to be sufficient." Offer at 6. Both Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment (Fed. R. Civ. P. 68), Joint Request for Entry of Judgment, and Joint Motion to Extend Rule 54(d) Deadlines

54(d) and Local Civil Rule 54(d) require Riverkeeper to seek costs and attorney fees within fourteen days after entry of judgment. The Parties have conferred and agreed to jointly request a reasonable period of time to pursue settlement of such issues, in the hope that the Parties will be able to reach a settlement and, thereby, avoid having the Parties and the Court dedicate their resources to engaging in motions practice and issuing a ruling.¹

Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request that the Court, in addition to directing the clerk to enter the judgment, extend Riverkeeper's Rule 54(d) deadlines to January 24, 2020.

A proposed form of order is being submitted herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC

/s/ Paul A. Kampmeier
Paul A. Kampmeier, WSBA No. 31560
811 First Avenue, Suite 468
Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 858-6983

Attorneys for Columbia Riverkeeper

Defendants expressly reserve all of their defenses and arguments regarding Riverkeeper's efforts to seek any costs and attorney fees.

Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment (Fed. R. Civ. P. 68), Joint Request for Entry of Judgment, and Joint Motion to Extend Rule 54(d) Deadlines

Case 2:19-cv-00126-RMP	P ECF No. 19 filed 11/22/19 PageID.141 Page 4 of 2
	<u>/s/ Mark A. Nitczynski</u> MARK A. NITCZYNSKI
	United States Department of Justice
	Environmental Defense Section 999 18th Street; South Terrace; Suite 370
	Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-1498
	Attorney for the Federal Defendants
	Autorney for the Teueral Defendants
Notice of Acceptance of Offer	
(Fed. R. Civ. P. 68), Joint Requ of Judgment, and Joint Motion	ıest for Entry n to Extend
Rule 54(d) Deadlines	Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 22, 2019, I caused to be filed electronically the foregoing NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF OFFER OF JUDGMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 68), JOINT REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT, AND JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND RULE 54(d) DEADLINES, along with a proposed order, with the Clerk of Court using the Court's CM/ECF system, which sends a Notice of Electronic Filing to counsel of record.

/s/ Mark A. Nitczynski Mark A. Nitczynski

Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment (Fed. R. Civ. P. 68), Joint Request for Entry of Judgment, and Joint Motion to Extend Rule 54(d) Deadlines

Page 5

ATTACHMENT A

MARK A. NITCZYNSKI Environmental Defense Section 999 18th Street; South Terrace; Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-1498 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER, Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; and LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD SEMONITE, in his official capacity as the Commanding General and Chief of Engineers of the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Defendants. MARK A. NITCZYNSKI Environmental Defense Section 999 18th Street; South Terrace; Suite 370 Defendants OFFICIAL TOWN OF WASHINGTON No. 2:19-cv-126-RMP OFFER OF JUDGMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 68)	Case 2:19-cv-00126-RMP ECF No. 19	filed 11/22/19 PageID.144 Page
COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER, Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; and LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD SEMONITE, in his official capacity as the Commanding General and Chief of Engineers of the United States Army Corps of Engineers, EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON No. 2:19-cv-126-RMP OFFER OF JUDGMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 68)	Environmental Defense Section 999 18th Street; South Terrace; Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202	
COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER, Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; and LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD SEMONITE, in his official capacity as the Commanding General and Chief of Engineers of the United States Army Corps of Engineers,) OFFER OF JUDGMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 68)		
	Plaintiff, v. UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; and LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD SEMONITE, in his official capacity as the Commanding General and Chief of Engineers of the United States Army Corps of Engineers,) OFFER OF JUDGMENT
	Offer of Judgment (Fed. R. Civ. P. 68)	Page

Defendants, the United States Army Corps of Engineers and Lieutenant
General Todd T. Semonite, in his official capacity as the Commanding General
and Chief of Engineers of the United States Army Corps of Engineers
(collectively, the "Corps" or "Defendants"), pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, offer to allow judgment to be taken against them on the
following terms.

1. By March 27, 2020, the Corps shall apply for a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit to address alleged discharges of pollutants at Chief Joseph Dam¹, including, at a minimum, alleged discharges from drainage sumps, unwatering sumps, drains, and discharges from cooling water systems, and any other alleged discharges that the permitting authority requires.² The Corps shall diligently respond to any requests from the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") or the Washington Department of

Hereafter, the terms "Chief Joseph Dam Project," "Chief Joseph Dam," and "Dam" are used interchangeably to refer to the same project, which includes the associated dam structures and facilities such as turbines, powerhouses, transformers, spillways, and cranes.

² This Judgment does not contain any requirement to apply for a permit to address mere river flow through or over the Dam.

Ecology related to the Chief Joseph Dam NPDES permit application or a CWA section 401 certification for that permit application, and the Corps shall otherwise take whatever reasonable steps are necessary, in good faith, to facilitate the prompt issuance of an NPDES permit for the Dam. Starting six months from the date the Corps submits that NPDES permit application to EPA, the Corps shall submit written requests to EPA every six months, with copies provided to Riverkeeper at the same time, for an update on the status of that permit application. The Corps' obligations to submit such requests shall terminate when EPA takes final action on that application or in the event that Riverkeeper commences an action pursuant to paragraph 6 below, whichever is earlier.

2. The Corps shall notify Riverkeeper of those events that the Corps reports to the National Response Center pursuant to the Corps' Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure plan for the Chief Joseph Dam; provided, however, that the Corps' obligation to provide such notification shall terminate when the Corps obtains NPDES permit coverage that addresses discharges of pollutants associated with operations at the Dam or in the event that Riverkeeper commences an action pursuant to paragraph 6 below, whichever is earlier. Such notifications to Riverkeeper may be provided via email, and shall be made promptly and in no instance later than three calendar days from the Corps' discovery of the event. The

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

Corps' notification to Riverkeeper shall not constitute an admission by the Corps of a discharge of any pollutant in violation of the CWA or any other law.

3. By May 31, 2020, the Corps shall complete an assessment and (a) report addressing whether it is feasible to switch from using grease as a lubricant on certain "in-water" components of the Chief Joseph Dam to using: components that do not require lubrication ("Non-Lubricated Components"); or one or more "Environmentally Acceptable Lubricants" ("EALs"). Based on that assessment and report, and by May 31, 2020, the Corps shall prepare a projected schedule for completing those switches to Non-Lubricated Components or EALs that (i) are deemed to be feasible in the assessment and report and (ii) can be completed during regularly scheduled maintenance within five years of the Effective Date of this Judgment. The projected schedule shall not be binding or enforceable by Riverkeeper or the Court, but the Corps shall complete the actions identified in the projected schedule even in the event that operational requirements, including, but not limited to, unplanned outages, Endangered Species Act or other flow obligations, and power grid reliability, prevent the Corps from adhering to the timeline in the projected schedule; provided, however, that, if Riverkeeper commences an action against the Corps regarding alleged unpermitted discharges of pollutants from the Chief Joseph Dam as discussed in paragraph 6 below, then the Corps shall not be obligated to complete those actions identified in the

projected schedule that have not been completed on the date that Riverkeeper commences such action. The Corps will provide a copy of the assessment and report of feasibility to Riverkeeper upon its completion.

- (b) The assessment and report, and the projected schedule, will be developed solely by the Corps, taking into consideration consistency with meeting: applicable legal requirements, including but not limited to the Endangered Species Act; facility operational requirements; costs of conversion; risk of potential damage to the equipment; and maintenance and outage schedules.
- (c) As used in this paragraph, "Environmentally Acceptable Lubricants" (or "EALs") means those lubricants that have been demonstrated to meet industry standards for biodegradability, toxicity, and bioaccumulation potential that minimize their likely adverse consequences in the aquatic environment compared to conventional lubricants.
- 4. The Corps shall prepare annual reports that: account for all oils and greases delivered to the Chief Joseph Dam within the prior calendar year to lubricate "in-water" components that may contact the Columbia River; and include the volumes of such oils and greases stored, purchased, used, recovered, and disposed of during the year. The Corps shall prepare the first such annual report by February 14, 2021, with available information from the 2020 calendar year, and subsequently shall prepare annual reports for every calendar year thereafter, until

such time as the Corps obtains NPDES permit coverage for the Chief Joseph Dam or in the event that Riverkeeper commences an action pursuant to paragraph 6 below, whichever is earlier. The Corps shall make copies of the annual reports publicly available on a Corps website. In addition, the Corps shall prepare an interim report by May 31, 2020, with available information from the 2019 calendar year. These reports shall not constitute concessions or admissions by the Corps.

5. Within one hundred twenty (120) days after the Corps' receipt of correct payment and deposit information described below from Riverkeeper or after the date of this Court's determination of the proper amount to be paid, whichever is later, the Defendants shall pay to Riverkeeper "costs then accrued" under Fed. R. Civ. P. 68(a), including "costs of litigation (including reasonable attorney and expert witness fees)" under 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), in the amount that this Court determines to be sufficient. Payment shall be made by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT"), and Riverkeeper's counsel shall provide the following payment and deposit information to counsel for the Corps:

EFT Payable to
Bank name
Bank address
ABA Routing number
Account number
Name and Type (Checking or Savings) of Account
Taxpayer identification number

6. This Judgment resolves Riverkeeper's claims in this case regarding alleged discharges from the Dam through and including the Effective Date of this Judgment. In addition, Riverkeeper is barred from asserting (by way of commencement or refiling of any action, the joinder of the Corps in an existing action, or in any other fashion) any and all claims, causes of action, suits or demands of any kind in law or in equity alleging that discharges of pollutants from the Chief Joseph Dam are not permitted under the CWA until either: (i) the Corps obtains NPDES permit coverage that addresses discharges of pollutants associated with operations at the Dam; or (ii) four years after the Effective Date of this Judgment, whichever is earlier. In the event that Riverkeeper commences any action against the Corps after the passage of time under the preceding clause (ii), the Corps' obligations under this Judgment shall terminate. Neither party will take any action for the sole purpose of obstructing or delaying the issuance of an NPDES permit for the Dam.

7. The possibility exists that circumstances outside the reasonable control of the Corps could delay compliance with the timetables set forth in this Judgment. Such circumstances include, but are not limited to, sufficient funds not being appropriated as requested, the unavailability of appropriated funds for expenditure, government shutdown, and significant events that are outside of normal fluctuations in Dam operations and that require an immediate and/or time-

consuming response by the Corps, including, but not limited to, significant events related to electrical power needs, flow augmentation actions, or actual or potential flooding. Should a delay occur due to such circumstances, then any resulting failure to meet the deadlines or other terms set forth in this Judgment shall not constitute a failure to comply with those deadlines or other terms, and any deadlines so affected shall be extended one day for each day of the delay. The Corps will provide Riverkeeper with reasonable notice in the event that the Corps invokes this *Force Majeure* term.

- 8. Efforts shall be made to resolve any future dispute arising out of this Judgment (the "Dispute") in accordance with the procedures specified below.
- (a) Negotiation. In the event of a disagreement between Riverkeeper and the Corps concerning the interpretation or performance of any aspect of this Judgment, the dissatisfied Party shall provide the other Party with written notice of the Dispute and a request for informal negotiations. The Parties shall meet and confer in a good faith effort to attempt to resolve the Dispute within thirty days of receipt of the written notice or such time thereafter as is mutually agreed.
- (b) <u>Petition for Judicial Resolution</u>. If the Parties are unable to resolve the Dispute informally within thirty days of receipt of the written notice or such time thereafter as is mutually agreed, then either Riverkeeper or the Corps

may petition the Court to resolve the Dispute. The Parties shall follow the motion practice procedures prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the District Court for the Eastern District of Washington in presenting the Dispute to the Court, and a Party's response to a petition under this provision may include a cross-motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this case for the purpose of resolving those disputes over which Riverkeeper or the Corps may petition the Court.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, however, Riverkeeper and the Corps expressly preserve, and do not waive or limit, any and all defenses relating to such litigation, including any that may exist under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60.

- (c) <u>Confidentiality of Negotiations and Mediation</u>. All informal negotiations and related communications and proceedings conducted pursuant to subparagraph (a) of this paragraph 8 are confidential and shall be treated as compromise and settlement negotiations for the purposes of applicable rules of evidence and any additional confidentiality protections provided by applicable law.
- 9. Any notice required or made with respect to this Judgment shall be in writing and shall be effective upon receipt. Any notice or other documents required pursuant to this Judgment shall be sent to the following contact persons:

For Riverkeeper:	For the Corps:
Lauren Goldberg	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Simone Anter	Northwestern Division
Columbia Riverkeeper	Attn: Office of Counsel
407 Portway Avenue, Suite 301	1201 NE Lloyd Blvd., Suite 400
Hood River, Oregon 97031	Portland, Oregon 97232
Phone: (541) 965-0985 (Goldberg)	
(541) 399-5312 (Anter)	And
Email: lauren@columbiariverkeeper.or	g
simone@columbiariverkeeper.org	Mark A. Nitczynski
	U.S. Department of Justice
And	Environment & Natural Res. Division
	999 18th Street
Brian Alan Knutsen	South Terrace, Suite 370
Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC	Denver, CO 80202
221 S.E. 11th Avenue, Suite 217	Phone: (303) 844-1498
Portland, Oregon 97214	Email: mark.nitczynski@usdoj.gov
Phone: (503) 841-6515	
Email: brian@kampmeierknutsen.com	
Upon written notice to the other l	Party, either Party may designate a
successor contact person for any matter	relating to this Judgment.
10. Except as expressly provid	ed herein, nothing in this Judgment shall be
construed to limit or modify the discreti	on accorded to the Corps by any laws,
ncluding but not limited to the CWA or	r any other environmental statutes, or any
principles of administrative law.	
11. The Corps' performance ur	nder this Judgment is subject to fiscal and
procurement laws and regulations of the	United States which include, but are not
limited to, the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 I	U.S.C. § 1341, et seq., and nothing in this

Page 10

Judgment shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the Corps obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act. In addition, nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the Corps take actions in contravention of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559, 701-706, the CWA, or any other substantive or procedural law or regulation.

- 12. If, subsequent to the date of this Judgment, any change in the law or legal requirement goes into effect that alters or relieves the Corps' obligations concerning matters addressed in this Judgment, then the Judgment shall be amended to conform to such changes.
- 13. The Effective Date of this Judgment shall be the date upon which this Judgment is entered.
- 14. The Parties may, in a written document signed by all of the Parties and with approval of the Court, modify this Judgment.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

Date: Oct. 30, 2019

MARK A. NITCZYNSKI

United States Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section

999 18th Street; South Terrace; Suite 370

Denver, CO 80202

(303) 844-1498

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I certify that on October 30, 2019, I served the foregoing OFFER OF JUDGMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 68) upon counsel for Plaintiff by United States mail at the 3 following addresses that are set forth on the Court's Docket Sheet: 4 Brian Alan Knutsen 5 Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC 221 S.E. 11th Avenue 6 Suite 217 Portland, Oregon 97214 7 8 Paul A Kampmeier Kampmeier & Knutsen PLLC 9 811 First Avenue Suite 468 10 Seattle, WA 98104 11 Simone Anter 12 Columbia Riverkeeper 407 Portway Avenue, Suite 301 13 Hood River, OR 97031 14 15 16 K. Jay Domell 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Offer of Judgment (Fed. R. Civ. P. 68)

Page 12