Mitchell, Williams, Selig, Gates & Woodyard, P.L.L.C.



Walter Wright, Jr. wwright@mwlaw.com (501) 688.8839

## Policy for the EPA's Review and Action on Clean Water Act Program Submittals: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Assistant Administrator (Water) Radhika Fox Announces Rescission

02/14/2022

United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Assistant Administrator for Water, Radhika Fox, authored a February 4th memorandum titled:

Rescission of Memorandum Titled: "Policy for the EPA's Review and Action on Clean Water Act Program Submittals" ("Rescission Memo")

The Rescission Memo was transmitted to EPA's Regional Administrators.

The *Rescission Memo* rescinds a June 3, 2019, memorandum authored by the former Assistant Administrator for Water, Dave Ross, titled:

Policy for the EPA's Review and Action on Clean Water Act Program Submittals ("Ross Memo")

Assistant Administrator Fox states that the policies and procedures outlined in the *Ross Memo* have been reconsidered.

The *Ross Memo* addressed EPA review of Clean Water Act actions by state and authorized Tribes including:

- Establishing new or revised water quality standards
- Biennial lists of waters determined to be impaired
- Total Maximum Daily Loads

The Rescission Memo takes the position that the policy procedures in the Ross Memo:

... weakened the agency's ability to substantively review these submissions while engaging with state coregulators and authorized Tribes.

Assistant Administrator Fox requires in the *Rescission Memo* that EPA Office Water career senior leaders issue memoranda to restore what is described as "longstanding processes for review of those submissions."

The *Rescission Memo* also addresses a statement in the *Ross Memo* which interprets an aspect of Section 303 (c) of the Clean Water Act. The *Ross Memo* is characterized as requiring the publication of proposed federal water quality standards within 90 days after a state or authorized Tribe fails to remedy an EPA disapproval of any water quality standards.

The *Rescission Memo* states that EPA has reconsidered such interpretation and concludes it is not supported by the Clean Water Act. The agency states in support of this conclusion:

 $\dots$  Congress' decision not to set a specific timeframe for EPA to propose federal regulations under section 303(c)(4)  $\dots$ 

The *Rescission Memo* states as EPA's current position that case-specific circumstances involved in the agency's proposal of federal regulations:

. . . can, and should, inform what constitutes prompt action under this provision.

The Association of Clean Water Administrators ("ACWA") states that it is unaware of a new memorandum regarding Section 303(d). However, it notes a February 4, 2022, memorandum titled:

"Decision-Making Principles for EPA Headquarters' Concurrence on Water Quality Standards Decisions." ("Nagle Memo")

The *Nagle Memo* was transmitted from EPA Office of Science and Technology Director Deborah Nagle to Water Directors in the EPA Regions.

The Nagle Memo outlines what it describes as five "Decision-making principles." They include:

- 1. The best available data and evidence indicate that the WQS is not consistent with the requirements of the CWA and EPA's implementing regulations.
- 2. The EPA Region and Headquarters agree that the WQS is not consistent with the requirements of the CWA and EPA's implementing regulations.
- 3. The state or authorized tribe recognizes that the WQS is not consistent with the requirements of the CWA and EPA's implementing regulations.
- 4. Disapproval of the WQS is generally not expected to be controversial or lead to litigation.
- 5. A corresponding, previously approved WQS provision remains in effect for CWA purposes and/or the state or authorized tribe is actively working on the changes necessary to meet the requirements of the CWA and EPA's implementing regulations such that a protective WQS will be in place absent a federal promulgation.

Copies of the ACWA document and the Ross and Nagle Memos can be downloaded here.